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The Affordable Care Act expands access to health insurance and includes numerous provisions focused on delivering care that is high quality, safe, and affordable. Reliable and meaningful quality measurement that focuses on important outcomes, including patient experience throughout the health care system, is an essential prerequisite for achieving this goal. In this Viewpoint, we describe the characteristics of the quality measurement enterprise of the future, outline a potential roadmap for the transition, and identify a set of opportunities for public- and private-sector collaboration.

Future of Quality Measurement Enterprise
Meaningful quality measures increasingly need to transition from setting-specific, narrow snapshots, such as use of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors or angiotensin receptor blockers for patients with congestive heart failure, to assessments that are broad based, meaningful, and patient centered in the continuum of time in which care is delivered (Table). As outlined in the National Quality Strategy, required by the Affordable Care Act, performance in all 6 priority domains must be addressed, monitored, and improved: clinical care, patient experience and engagement, population and community health, safety, care coordination, and cost and efficiency.

Furthermore, measure concepts must be prioritized based on the potential population-wide effect of achievable improvements in that measure. Although there are many endorsed quality measures, limited measures exist in some domains (eg, care coordination, patient engagement) and more system-based outcome measures with high public health importance are needed as opposed to process measures prone to “teaching to the test.” The goal is to identify important measures, discontinue using those of little value (eg, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services [CMS] retired multiple measures in hospital programs in which performance was excellent, such as β-blockers on arrival for myocardial infarction), and construct measures into a portfolio that meets the needs of payers, policy makers, and the public. As this parsimonious set of core measures is developed (Table), ideally they must be adopted across public and private recognition and payment programs to increase the "signal," obtain synergy between different parts of the health care system, and reduce burden.

Potential Roadmap for the Transition
The unique mix of financing and largely private-sector care delivery in the United States implies that a more effective measurement system requires ongoing collaboration between the public and private sectors. Federal programs have typically been established by statute and started one-by-one, therefore measurement is often setting-specific; private sector–led initiatives often share these characteristics. To achieve the potential improvements required, the US Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) and CMS are now reorienting and aligning measures around patient-centered outcomes that span across settings. The National Quality Forum is a consensus-based entity that includes both public- and private-sector stakeholders that endorses and recommends measures for use in reporting, improvement, and payment programs. The National Quality Forum recently launched a measures application partnership, which plays a major role in the selection of measures, identifying the next generation of measures, and aligning measurement across the public and private sectors.

A newly aligned and prioritized measurement system will also need to align vertically and capture measures at each level of the system. It must capture measurement at 3 main levels (ie, individual clinician, group/facility, population/community) and facilitate “roll-up” of measures so performance results can be calculated and information fed back at each level. There must be a common measurement platform that serves the needs of policy makers, payers, purchasers, consumers, and frontline clinicians. The next generation of electronic health records (EHRs) and interoperable health information technology systems can be a key foundational building block.

Feasible Quality Measurement Implementation That Minimizes Burden. Quality measurement implementation needs to be easy in routine practice for clinicians with measures captured as part of the clinical workflow. Measurement must be applicable to practices of all sizes and should minimize the burden of data collection. Clinicians and hospitals must submit clinical quality measures under DHHS programs to obtain payment incentives, fulfill public reporting requirements, or avoid payment penalties. For example, physicians and other clinicians have 3 mandatory CMS quality programs: the physician quality reporting system, the physician value-based modifier for Medicare, and the EHR incentive program for Medicare and Medicaid. CMS is aligning the reporting requirements for these 3 programs so the individual clinician or clinician group will be...
Realizing the full potential of quality measurement to improve health outcomes as efficiently as possible.

Health information technology potentially can enable easier collection and reporting of quality measures. For example, EHRs can collect standardized electronic data as a by-product of the routine delivery of care on a census of all patients and provide timely feedback to clinicians. This promise is far from being realized currently, with many remaining challenges. However, there are some initial positive results. For example, one group of researchers recently identified 18 quality measures of chronic care that reach patients where they are (eg, mobile, text). Third, clinician engagement is critical for successful transformation of the health system to achieve better health outcomes as efficiently as possible.

An Agile Learning Measurement Enterprise Based on Collaboration

The current cycle time from development to implementation for most federal programs is typically at least 3 years and for some programs, longer based on statutory requirements. The US health system must reduce the cycle time for measure development, endorsement, and implementation. Three additional changes are needed: (1) the measurement enterprise must move beyond identification of gaps to a collaborative model of planning and executing measure development, including within the public and private sectors; (2) electronic value sets must be separated from measure definitions that permit electronic measures to be updated within shorter subregulatory timeframes; and (3) measure “test beds” should be developed and foster new patient-centered, high-impact e-measures to improve efficiency of development process and readiness for implementation. As measures are increasingly implemented in payment programs based on value, the public and private sectors must collectively work to ensure the implementation of patient-centered measures that matter, minimize clinician burden, focus on improvement, and develop an agile learning measurement enterprise. The measurement enterprise is critical for successful transformation of the health system to achieve better health outcomes as efficiently as possible.
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